

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/883	.220 06/	26/97 SOHN	Z 2117.034

QM31/0831 -

LEVISOHN LERNER BERGER & LANGSMAN

757 3RD AVE STE 2400

Г

NEW YORK NY 10017

EXAMINER

DATE MAILED:

08/31/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 08/883,220 Applicant(s)

ZE 'EV SOHN et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Gary Jackson .

Group Art Unit 3731

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 24, 1997</u>	·			
☐ This action is FINAL .				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the			
Disposition of Claims				
X Claim(s) <u>1-49</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
Claim(s)				
Claim(s)				
Application Papers				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	ng Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	eted to by the Examiner.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □approved □disapproved.			
\square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
$\hfill \square$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been				
☐ received.				
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)				
\square received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*Certified copies not received:				
 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priori 	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
Attachment(s)				
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	10(s)			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	48 Ac Jacks			
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	Dury J			
	GARY VACKSON			
	PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3300			
• •	8/31/98			
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES				

Application/Control Number: 08/883,220

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-4, drawn to a method for treatment of airway obstruction, classified in class 606, subclass 898.
 - II. Claims 5-10, drawn to a bone screw anchor, classified in class 606, subclass 72.
 - III. Claims 11-18 and 44, drawn to bone screw and inserter combination, classified in class 606, subclass 104.
 - IV. Claims 19-21, drawn to a method of forming a bone anchor, classified in class 29, subclass.
 - V. Claims 22-25, 38-43 and 45-47 and 49, drawn to a suture passer, classified in class 606, subclass 139.
 - VI. Claims 26-33 and 48, drawn to an electrical device for reducing airway obstruction, classified in class 607, subclass 002.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions I-VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II, bone anchor inserter has separate utility such as . See MPEP § 806.05(d).



Application/Control Number: 08/883,220

Art Unit: 3731

- 3. Inventions and are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each of the Groups do not required the same search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: If Group V is elected, then one of Figures 4, 11 and 14 should be selected.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 08/883,220

Art Unit: 3731

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Morris E. Cohen on August 13, 1998 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(,, sec I).

Art Unit: 3731

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 308-4302. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Buiz, can be reached on (703) 308-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-2708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Gary Jackson August 31, 1998 GARY JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3300